

Vasily E. Tarasov¹

Quantization of Non-Hamiltonian Systems

Preprint of Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics

Moscow State University

SINP MSU 2000-33/637

In this paper a generalization of Weyl quantization which maps a dynamical operator in a function space to a dynamical superoperator in an operator space is suggested. Quantization of dynamical operator, which cannot be represented as Poisson bracket with some function, is considered. The usual Weyl quantization of observables can be derived as a specific case of suggested quantization if dynamical operator is an operator of multiplication on a function. This approach allows to define consistent Weyl quantization of non-Hamiltonian and dissipative systems. Examples of the harmonic oscillator with friction and a system which evolves by Fokker-Planck-type equation are considered.

¹Theoretical High Energy Physics Department, Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics,
Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, RUSSIA
E-mail: tarasov@theory.sinp.msu.ru

1 Introduction

Canonical quantization of classical observables and states defines a map of real functions into self-adjoint operators [1]. A classical observable is described by some real function $A(q, p)$ from a functional space $\mathcal{M}$. Quantization of this function leads to self-adjoint operator $\hat{A}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ from some operator space $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. It is known that states can be considered as a special observable. Classical state can be described by non-negative-normed real function $\rho(q, p)$ called density distribution function. Quantization of a function $\rho(q, p)$ leads to non-negative self-adjoint operator $\hat{\rho}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ of trace class called matrix density operator.

Time evolution of an observable $A_t(q, p)$ and a state $\rho_t(q, p)$ in classical mechanics are described by differential equations on a function space $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_t(q, p) = \mathcal{L}_t A_t(q, p) , \quad \frac{d}{dt}\rho_t(q, p) = \Lambda_t \rho_t(q, p) .$$

The operators $\mathcal{L}_t$ and Λ_t act on the elements of function space $\mathcal{M}$. These operators are infinitesimal generators of dynamical semigroups and are called dynamical operators. The first equation describes evolution of an observable in the Hamilton picture, and the second equation describes evolution of a state in the Liouville picture.

Dynamics of an observable and state in quantum mechanics are described by differential equations on an operator space $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{A}_t(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_t \hat{A}_t(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) , \quad \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_t = \hat{\Lambda}_t \hat{\rho}_t .$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_t$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_t$ are superoperators (operators act on operators). These superoperators are infinitesimal generators of quantum dynamical semigroups [2, 3, 4]. The first equation describes dynamics in Heisenberg picture, and the second - in Schroedinger picture.

It is easy to see that quantization of the dynamical operators $\mathcal{L}_t$ and Λ_t must lead to dynamical superoperators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_t$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_t$. Therefore, generalization of canonical quantization for general classical non-Hamiltonian systems must map operators into superoperators.

Usually the quantization is applied to classical systems with the dynamical operator $\mathcal{L}A(q, p) = \{A(q, p), H(q, p)\}$. Here the function $H(q, p)$ is an observable which characterizes dynamics and the function $H(q, p)$ is called the Hamilton function. Quantization of a dynamical operator which can be represented as Poisson bracket with the Hamilton function is defined by usual canonical quantization. Canonical quantization of real functions $A(q, p)$ and $H(q, p)$ leads to self-adjoint operators $\hat{A}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ and $\hat{H}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$. Quantization of the Poisson bracket $\{A(q, p), H(q, p)\}$ usually defines as commutator $(i/\hbar)[\hat{H}(\hat{q}, \hat{p}), \hat{A}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})]$. Therefore quantization of these dynamical operators can be uniquely defined by usual canonical quantization.

Quantization of classical non-Hamiltonian systems is not defined by usual canonical quantization. It is necessary to consider some generalization of canonical quantization. These generalized procedure must define a map of operator into superoperator.

In this paper a Weyl quantization of classical non-Hamiltonian systems is considered. The generalized Weyl quantization, which maps a (linear differential, pseudodifferential) operator on a function space into a superoperator on an operator space, is suggested. An analysis of generalized quantization is performed for operator which cannot be represented as the Poisson bracket with some function.

2 Weyl Quantization

In this section the usual method of quantization is considered [1, 5, 6]. To simplify formulas let x^k , where $k = 1, \dots, 2n$, denote usual coordinates q^j and momentums p^j by $x^{2j-1} = q^j$ and $x^{2j} = p^j$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$. The basis of the space $\mathcal{M}$ of square-integrable functions $A(x)$ is defined by functions

$$W(a, x) = \exp i a x, \quad a x = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_k x^k. \quad (1)$$

Quantization transforms x^k to operators $\hat{x}^k$. Weyl quantization of the basis functions (1) leads to the Weyl operators

$$\hat{W}(a, \hat{x}) = \exp i a \hat{x}, \quad a \hat{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_k \hat{x}^k. \quad (2)$$

The Weyl operators form a basis [6, 7] of the operator space $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Classical observable, characterized by the function $A(x)$, can be represented in the form

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \tilde{A}(a) W(a, x) da, \quad da = da_1 \dots da_{2n}, \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{A}(a)$ is the Fourier image of the function $A(x)$. Quantum observable $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$ which corresponds to $A(x)$ can be defined by formula

$$\hat{A}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \tilde{A}(a) \hat{W}(a, \hat{x}) da, \quad da = da_1 \dots da_{2n}. \quad (4)$$

This formula can be considered as an operator expansion for $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$ in the operator basis (2). The direct and inverse Fourier transformations allow to write the formula for the operator $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$ as

$$\hat{A}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int A(x) \hat{W}(a, \hat{x} - x \hat{I}) da dx. \quad (5)$$

The function $A(x)$ is called the Weyl symbol of the operator $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$. Quantization defined by (5) is called the Weyl quantization. Another basis operator leads to different quantization scheme [7, 5, 6].

Lie algebra, Jordan algebra and C^* -algebra are usually considered on the spaces $\mathcal{M}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M})$ on the set $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by Poisson brackets

$$g_{Lie}(A, B) \equiv \{A(x), B(x)\} = \Psi^{km} \frac{\partial A(x)}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial B(x)}{\partial x^m}, \quad (6)$$

where Ψ^{km} is a matrix which is inverse of matrix ω_{km} , that is $\omega_{km} \Psi^{mk'} = \delta_k^{k'}$. All elements of the matrix ω_{km} are equal to zero, besides elements $\omega_{2j-1, 2j} = 1$ and $\omega_{2j, 2j-1} = -1$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$. Quantization of the Poisson bracket (6) usually defines as commutator

$$\hat{g}_{Lie}(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{A}(\hat{x}), \hat{B}(\hat{x})] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\hat{A}(\hat{x})\hat{B}(\hat{x}) - \hat{B}(\hat{x})\hat{A}(\hat{x})), \quad (7)$$

The commutator defines Lie algebra $\hat{L}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ on the set $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Leibnitz rule is satisfied for the Poisson brackets. As a result, the Poisson brackets are defined by basis Poisson brackets for x^k :

$$\{x^k, x^m\} = \Psi^{km}.$$

Quantization of these relations leads to the canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}^k, \hat{x}^m] = i\hbar \Psi^{km} \hat{I}. \quad (8)$$

These relations can be written for operators $\hat{x}^{2j-1} = \hat{q}^j$ and $\hat{x}^{2j} = \hat{p}^j$, in the form

$$[\hat{q}^j, \hat{q}^{j'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{p}^j, \hat{p}^{j'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{q}^j, \hat{p}^{j'}] = i\hbar \delta_{jj'} \hat{I}. \quad (9)$$

These relations define $(2n+1)$ -parametric Lie algebra $\hat{L}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$, called Heisenberg algebra.

Jordan algebra $J(\mathcal{M})$ for the set $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by the following multiplication

$$g_{Jord}(A, B) = A(x) \circ B(x) = A(x)B(x).$$

This multiplication coincides with the usual associative multiplication of functions. Weyl quantization of the Jordan algebra $J(\mathcal{M})$ leads to the special operator Jordan algebra $\hat{J}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ with multiplication

$$\hat{g}_{Jord}(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ = \hat{A} \circ \hat{B} = \frac{1}{4} [(\hat{A} + \hat{B})^2 - (\hat{A} - \hat{B})^2].$$

Jordan algebra for classical observables is associative algebra, that is all associators are equal to zero

$$(A \circ B) \circ C - A \circ (B \circ C) = 0.$$

In general case Jordan algebra associator for quantum observables is not equal to zero

$$(\hat{A} \circ \hat{B}) \circ \hat{C} - \hat{A} \circ (\hat{B} \circ \hat{C}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \hat{g}_{Lie}(\hat{B}, \hat{g}_{Lie}(\hat{A}, \hat{C})) .$$

The commutation relation (8) for the operators x^k leads to the $2n$ -parametric Weyl algebra of the operators $\hat{W}(a, \hat{x})$:

$$\hat{W}(a, \hat{x}) \hat{W}(b, \hat{x}) = \hat{W}(a + b, \hat{x}) \exp\left\{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} a_k \Psi^{km} b_m\right\} , \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{W}^*(a, \hat{x}) = \hat{W}(-a, \hat{x}) , \quad \hat{W}^*(a, \hat{x}) \hat{W}(a, \hat{x}) = \hat{I} . \quad (11)$$

The Weyl algebra is involute normed algebra. The involution corresponds to conjugation (11). The operator norm defines the norm of Weyl algebra.

3 Quantization of Hamiltonian Dynamical Operator

Let us consider quantization of a classical dynamical operator defined by Hamilton function. Usually the quantization procedure is applied to classical systems with dynamical operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\{H(x), .\} = -\Psi^{km} \partial_k H(x) \partial_m , \quad (12)$$

where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial x^k$. Here $H(x)$ is an observable which defines dynamics of a classical system. The observable $H(x)$ is called the Hamilton function. Then

$$\mathcal{L}A(x) = \{A(x), H(x)\} .$$

If the dynamical operator has this form, then classical system is called Hamiltonian system.

Weyl quantization (5) of the functions $A(x)$ and $H(x)$ lead to operators $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$ and $\hat{H}(\hat{x})$. Quantization of Poisson bracket $\{A(x), H(x)\}$ usually defines as the commutator $(i/\hbar)[\hat{H}(\hat{x}), \hat{A}(\hat{x})]$. Therefore quantization of dynamical operator (12) leads to superoperator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}(\hat{x}), .] = \frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H}^l(\hat{x}) - \hat{H}^r(\hat{x})) \quad (13)$$

Here $\hat{H}^l(\hat{x})$ and $\hat{H}^r(\hat{x})$ are left and right superoperators which correspond to Hamilton operator $\hat{H}(\hat{x})$. These superoperators are defined by formulas [5, 6]:

$$\hat{H}^l \hat{A} = \hat{H} \hat{A} , \quad \hat{H}^r \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{H} .$$

Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{A}(\hat{x}) = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}(\hat{x}), \hat{A}(\hat{x})] = \frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H}(\hat{x}) \hat{A}(\hat{x}) - \hat{A}(\hat{x}) \hat{H}(\hat{x})) . \quad (14)$$

Quantization of dynamical operator, which can be represented as Poisson bracket with a function, can be defined by usual quantization. Therefore quantization of Hamiltonian systems is completely defined by the usual method of quantization.

4 General Dynamical Operator

Let us consider the time evolution of classical observable $A_t(x)$, described by the general differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_t(x) = \mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)A_t(x) .$$

Here $\mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)$ is an operator on the function space $\mathcal{M}$, and ∂_x is a partial derivative with respect to x . Let us consider operator which cannot be expressed in the form $\mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)A(x) = \{A(x), H(x)\}$ with a function $H(x)$. We would like to generalize the quantization procedure from the dynamical operators (12) to general operators $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)$.

Let us define the basis operators which generate the dynamical operator $\mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)$. For simplicity, we assume that operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a bounded operator. Operator Q^k is an operator of multiplication on x^k and operator P^k is self-adjoint differential operator with respect to x^k , that is $-i\partial/\partial x^k$. These basis operators obey the conditions:

1. $Q^k 1 = x^k$; $P^k 1 = 0$.
2. $(Q^k)^* = Q^k$; $(P^k)^* = P^k$.
3. $\overline{Q^k A(x)} = Q^k \overline{A(x)}$; $\overline{P^k A(x)} = -P^k \overline{A(x)}$.
4. $[Q^k, P^m] = i\delta_{km}$; $[Q^k, Q^m] = 0$; $[P^k, P^m] = 0$; $[1, Q^m] = 0$; $[1, P^m] = 0$.

Conjugation operation $*$ is defined with respect to scalar product

$$\langle A(x)|B(x) \rangle = \int \overline{A(x)} B(x) dx .$$

Commutation relations for the operators P^k and Q^k define $(4n+1)$ -parametric Lie algebra. These relations are analogous to canonical commutation relations (9) for $\hat{q}^j$ and $\hat{p}^j$ with double numbers of degrees of freedom.

Operators Q^k and P^k allow to introduce operator basis

$$V(a, b, Q, P) = \exp\{i(aQ + bP)\} , \quad (15)$$

for the linear space $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ of dynamical operators. The basis operators $V(a, b, Q, P)$ are analogous to the Weyl operators basis (2). Note that basis functions (1) can be derived from the operators (15) by the formula $W(a, x) = V(a, 0, Q, P)1$.

The commutation relation for the operators Q^k and P^k leads to the analog of the Weyl algebra:

$$V(a_1, b_1, Q, P)V(a_2, b_2, Q, P) = V(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, Q, P)\exp\{-\frac{i}{2}[a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1]\} , \quad (16)$$

$$V^*(a, b, Q, P) = V(-a, -b, Q, P) , \quad V^*(a, b, Q, P)V(a, b, Q, P) = 1 . \quad (17)$$

This Weyl algebra is involute normed algebra. The involution corresponds to conjugation (17). An operator norm defines the norm of Weyl algebra.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ of bounded dynamical operators can be defined as C^* -algebra. It contains all operators $V(a, b, Q, P)$ and is closed for linear combinations of $V(a, b, Q, P)$ in operator norm topology. A dynamical operator can be written as an operator function in the symmetric form

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(a, b) e^{i(aQ+bP)} da db . \quad (18)$$

The function $\tilde{L}(a, b)$ is square-integrable function of real variables a and b . The function $L(a, b)$ is Fourier image of the operator symbol for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)$. The set of bounded operators $\mathcal{L}(Q, P)$ and their uniformly limits form the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ of dynamical operators. We can use the basis operators

$$V_{QP}(a, b, Q, P) = V(a, 0, Q, P)V(0, b, Q, P) = e^{iaQ} e^{ibP} .$$

which are analogous to Kirkwood basis [7]. This operator basis associate with the standard ordering of operators Q and P . The operators V_{QP} allow to write a dynamical operator in the QP -form

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(a, b) e^{iaQ} e^{ibP} da db . \quad (19)$$

This form is suitable for the classical systems.

5 Quantization of Basis Operators

To define the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ which corresponds to operator $\mathcal{L}$ we need to describe Weyl quantization of the basis operators Q^k and P^k . Let us require that the superoperators $\hat{Q}^k$ and $\hat{P}^k$ satisfy the relations which are the quantum analogs to the relations for the operators Q^k and P^k :

1. $\hat{Q}^k \hat{I} = \hat{x}^k; \hat{P}^k \hat{I} = \hat{0}$.
2. $\hat{Q}^k = \hat{Q}^k; \hat{P}^k = \hat{P}^k$.
3. $(\hat{Q}^k \hat{A})^* = \hat{Q}^k \hat{A}^*; (\hat{P}^k \hat{A})^* = -\hat{P}^k \hat{A}^*$.
4. $[\hat{Q}^k, \hat{P}^m] = i\delta_{km} \hat{I}, [\hat{Q}^k, \hat{Q}^m] = \hat{0}; [\hat{P}^k, \hat{P}^m] = \hat{0}; [\hat{I}, \hat{Q}^k] = \hat{0}; [\hat{I}, \hat{P}^k] = \hat{0}$.

Superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is called formally self-adjoint $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^*$, if the relation $\langle \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = \langle \hat{A} | \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{B} \rangle$ is satisfied. Here the scalar product $\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle$ on the operator space $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle \equiv Sp[\hat{A}^* \hat{B}] .$$

An operator space with this scalar product is called Liouville space [5, 6].

The Weyl quantization of operators Q^k and P^k is defined by Weyl quantization of functions $Q^k A(x)$ and $P^k A(x)$ for all $A(x)$.

To quantize the operator P^k we use the relation

$$\{x^m, A(x)\} = \Psi^{kk'} \partial_k x^m \partial_{k'} A(x) ,$$

where $\partial_k x^m = \delta_k^m$ and $\omega_{km} \Psi^{mk'} = \delta_k^{k'}$. Then

$$\partial_k A(x) = \omega_{km} \{x^m, A(x)\} .$$

Quantization of the right-hand side of this formula leads to the expression

$$\omega_{km} \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{x}^m, \hat{A}(\hat{x})] .$$

The operator $\hat{P}^k \hat{A}$ is written in the form

$$\hat{P}^k \hat{A}(\hat{x}) = -\omega_{km} \frac{1}{\hbar} [\hat{x}^m, \hat{A}(\hat{x})] .$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\hat{P}^k = -\omega_{km} \frac{1}{\hbar} [\hat{x}^m, .] = -\omega_{km} \frac{1}{\hbar} ((\hat{x}^m)^l - (\hat{x}^m)^r) . \quad (20)$$

In the usual notations $\hat{x}^{2j-1} = \hat{q}^j$ and $\hat{x}^{2j} = \hat{p}^j$ this formula can be rewritten

$$\hat{P}^{2j-1} = \frac{1}{\hbar} ((\hat{p}^j)^l - (\hat{p}^j)^r) , \quad \hat{P}^{2j} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} ((\hat{q}^j)^l - (\hat{q}^j)^r) ,$$

where the left and right superoperators are defined by

$$(\hat{q}^j)^l \hat{A} = \hat{q}^j \hat{A} , \quad (\hat{p}^j)^l \hat{A} = \hat{p}^j \hat{A} , \quad (\hat{q}^j)^r \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{q}^j , \quad (\hat{p}^j)^r \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{p}^j .$$

for all $\hat{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Let us quantize the operator Q^k . It is known [1] that the Weyl quantization of the expression $x^k \circ A(x)$ leads to $\hat{x}^k \circ \hat{A}(\hat{x})$. Therefore superoperator $\hat{Q}^k$ has the form

$$\hat{Q}^k = [\hat{x}^k, .]_+ = \frac{1}{2} ((\hat{x}^k)^l + (\hat{x}^k)^r) , \quad (21)$$

i.e. $\hat{Q}^k \hat{A} = \hat{x}^k \circ \hat{A}$.

It can be verified that for the superoperators $\hat{Q}^k$ and $\hat{P}^k$ defined by (20) and (21) the commutation relations

$$[\hat{Q}^k, \hat{P}^m] = i\delta_{km}\hat{I} , \quad [\hat{Q}^k, \hat{Q}^m] = 0 , \quad [\hat{P}^k, \hat{P}^m] = 0 , \quad (22)$$

are satisfied. To check these relations, we must express the superoperators $\hat{Q}^k$ and $\hat{P}^k$ via superoperators $(\hat{x}^k)^l$ and $(\hat{x}^k)^r$ and use the commutation relations

$$[(\hat{x}^k)^l, (\hat{x}^m)^l] = i\hbar\Psi^{km}\hat{I} , \quad [(\hat{x}^k)^r, (\hat{x}^m)^r] = -i\hbar\Psi^{km}\hat{I} , \quad [(\hat{x}^k)^l, (\hat{x}^m)^r] = 0 , \quad (23)$$

which follow from canonical commutation relations (8). Using relations (20) and (21), the other relations for the superoperators $\hat{P}^k$ and $\hat{Q}^k$ can be easily verified.

Weyl quantization of the operators (15) leads to the superoperators

$$\hat{V}(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \exp\{i(a\hat{Q} + b\hat{P})\} . \quad (24)$$

The relations (22) for $\hat{Q}^k$ and $\hat{P}^k$ leads to the relations:

$$\hat{V}(a_1, b_1, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})\hat{V}(a_2, b_2, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \hat{V}(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})\exp\{-\frac{i}{2}[a_1b_2 - a_2b_1]\} , \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{V}^\dagger(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \hat{V}(-a, -b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) , \quad \hat{V}^\dagger(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})\hat{V}(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \hat{I} . \quad (26)$$

It allows to define superoperator Weyl algebra which is involute normed algebra. The involution corresponds to conjugation (26). A superoperator norm [5, 6] defines the norm of the algebra. The algebra $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ of bounded dynamical superoperators can be defined as C^* -algebra. It contains all superoperators $\hat{V}(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})$ and it is closed for linear combinations of $\hat{V}(a, b, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})$ in superoperator norm topology.

The operator Q^k realizes multiplication by x^k in the Jordan algebra $J(\mathcal{M})$. Therefore the superoperator $\hat{Q}^k$ realizes multiplication by the operator $\hat{x}^k$ on the Jordan algebra $\hat{J}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$. The operator P^k represents multiplication by x^k on the Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M})$ and superoperator $\hat{P}^k$ is multiplication by $\hat{x}^k$ on the Lie algebra $\hat{L}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$. Therefore the Weyl quantization of operators Q^k and P^k is realized as a map of algebras of multiplication operators which act on the Jordan and Lie algebras. Note that operators Q^k , P^k and superoperators $\hat{Q}^k$, $\hat{P}^k$ can be defined by

$$Q^k A(x) = g_{Jord}(x^k, A(x)) , \quad P^k A = g_{Lie}(x^k, A(x)) ,$$

$$\hat{Q}^k \hat{A} = \hat{g}_{Jord}(\hat{x}^k, \hat{A}) , \quad \hat{P}^k \hat{A} = \hat{g}_{Lie}(\hat{x}^k, \hat{A}) .$$

6 Quantization of Operator Function

Let us consider the dynamical operator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(Q, P)$ as a function of the basis operators Q^k and P^k . Generalized quantization can be defined as a map from dynamical operator space $A(\mathcal{M})$ to dynamical superoperator space $\hat{A}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$. The Weyl quantization of the symmetric form of operator

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(a, b) e^{i(aQ+bP)} da db, \quad Q^k = x^k, \quad P^k = -i\partial_k,$$

leads to the corresponding superoperator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(a, b) e^{i(a\hat{Q}+b\hat{P})} da db, \quad (27)$$

$$\hat{Q}^k = \frac{1}{2}((\hat{x}^k)^l + (\hat{x}^k)^r), \quad \hat{P}^k = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \omega_{km}((\hat{x}^m)^l - (\hat{x}^m)^r).$$

If the function $\tilde{L}(a, b)$ is connected with Fourier image $\tilde{A}(a)$ of the function $A(x)$ by the relation

$$\tilde{L}(a, b) = (2\pi)^n \delta(b) \tilde{A}(a),$$

then the formula (27) defines the canonical quantization of the function $A(x) = \mathcal{L}(Q, P)1$ by the relation $\hat{A}(\hat{x}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P})\hat{I}$. Here we use $\hat{Q}^k \hat{I} = \hat{x}^k$ and $\exp\{ia\hat{Q}\}\hat{I} = \exp\{ia\hat{x}\}$. Therefore canonical quantization is a specific case of suggested quantization procedure. If we use QP -form of the dynamical operator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(Q, P)$, then the Weyl quantization of

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int L(a, b) e^{iaQ} e^{ibP} da db,$$

leads to the superoperator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int L(a, b) e^{ia\hat{Q}} e^{ib\hat{P}} da db, \quad (28)$$

Superoperators $\hat{Q}^k$ and $\hat{P}^k$ can be represented by $(\hat{x}^k)^l$ and $(\hat{x}^k)^r$. Therefore the formula (27) can be written in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{x}^l, \hat{x}^r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(a', b') W^l(a', \hat{x}) W^r(b', \hat{x}) da' db'. \quad (29)$$

Here $\hat{W}^l(a, \hat{x})$ and $\hat{W}^r(a, \hat{x})$ are left and right superoperators corresponding to the Weyl operator (2). These superoperators can be defined by

$$\hat{W}^l(a, \hat{x}) = \hat{W}(a, \hat{x}^l), \quad \hat{W}^r(a, \hat{x}) = \hat{W}(a, \hat{x}^r).$$

If the function $\tilde{L}(a', b')$ of the superoperator (29) has the form

$$\tilde{L}(a', b') = \frac{i}{\hbar} (H(a')\delta(b') - H(b')\delta(a')) ,$$

then quantum system is Hamiltonian system and Heisenberg equation has the usual form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{A}_t = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}(\hat{x}), \hat{A}_t] .$$

Here $\hat{H}(\hat{x})$ is Hamilton operator defined by

$$\hat{H}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int H(a') \hat{W}(a', \hat{x}) da' .$$

7 Explicit Formulas of Quantization

Let us derive a relation which represents the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P})$ by operator $\mathcal{L}(Q, P)$. We would like to find the analog of the relation (5) between an operator $\hat{A}(\hat{x})$ and a function $A(x)$. In order to find the relation, it will be convenient to represent the Fourier image of a function $\tilde{L}(a, b)$ by the operator $\mathcal{L}(Q, P)$.

Let us find the symbol of a dynamical operator $\mathcal{L}(Q, P)$ by this operator. To simplify formulas, we introduce new notations. Let X^s , where $s = 1, \dots, 4n$, denote the operators Q^k and P^k , where $k = 1, \dots, 2n$, that is $Q^k = X^k$ and $P^k = X^{k+2n}$, or

$$X^{2j-1} = q^j , \quad X^{2j} = p^j , \quad X^{2j-1+2n} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} , \quad X^{2j+2n} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial p^j} ,$$

where $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let us denote the parameters a^k and b^k , where $k = 1, \dots, 2n$, by z^s , where $s = 1, \dots, 4n$. Then the formula (18) can be rewritten by

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(z) e^{izX} dz .$$

The formula (27) for the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is written in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(z) e^{iz\hat{X}} dz .$$

Let us consider the inverse Fourier transformation for the function $L(z)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \tilde{L}(z) e^{iz\alpha} dz , \quad \tilde{L}(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \mathcal{L}(\alpha) e^{-iz\alpha} d\alpha .$$

Here $\tilde{L}(z)$ is the Fourier image of the function $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$. Then operator $\mathcal{L}(X)$ can be written by the Weyl symbol $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int \mathcal{L}(\alpha) e^{iz(X-\alpha)} dz d\alpha . \quad (30)$$

This formula is an analog of the formula (5). The generalized quantization of operators X^s leads to the superoperators $\hat{X}^s$. Therefore Weyl quantization of the operator (30) leads to the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{X})$ which can be written

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int \mathcal{L}(\alpha) e^{iz(\hat{X}-\alpha)} dz d\alpha . \quad (31)$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_m(\alpha)$, where $m = 1$ or 2 , are the Weyl symbols of the operator $\mathcal{L}_m(X)$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_m(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int \mathcal{L}_m(\alpha) e^{iz(X-\alpha)} dz d\alpha . \quad (32)$$

Let us consider the trace $Sp[\mathcal{L}_1(X)\mathcal{L}_2(X)]$ of the product of the operators $\mathcal{L}_m(X)$ and find the Weyl symbol $\mathcal{L}_2(\alpha)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_2(X)$. As follows from (31), we have

$$Sp[\mathcal{L}_2(X)\mathcal{L}_1(X)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int \mathcal{L}_1(\alpha) Sp[\mathcal{L}_2(X) e^{iz(X-\alpha)}] dz d\alpha .$$

This relation can be compared with the well known formula for the trace of operator product

$$Sp[\mathcal{L}_2(X)\mathcal{L}_1(X)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int \mathcal{L}_1(\alpha) \mathcal{L}_2(\alpha) d\alpha .$$

We obtain the relation for the symbol $\mathcal{L}_2(\alpha)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_2(X)$

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\alpha) = \int Sp[\mathcal{L}_2(X) e^{iz(X-\alpha)}] dz .$$

The formula for the Weyl symbol $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = \int e^{-iz'\alpha} Sp[\mathcal{L}(X) e^{iz'X}] dz' .$$

Next we substitute this symbol into the relation (31). As the result we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int e^{-i\alpha(z+z')} e^{iz\hat{X}} Sp[\mathcal{L}(X) e^{iz'X}] dz d\alpha dz' . \quad (33)$$

In the new notations, the operators $V(a, b, Q, P)$ are written as $V(z, X) = \exp\{izX\}$. If we use the superoperator $\hat{V}(z, \hat{X}) = \exp\{iz\hat{X}\}$, then the formula (33) can be rewritten in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4n}} \int e^{-i\alpha(z+z')} \hat{V}(z, \hat{X}) Sp[\mathcal{L}(X) V(z', X)] dz d\alpha dz' .$$

8 Harmonic oscillator with friction

Let us consider n -dimensional linear oscillator with friction $F_{fric}^j = -(\gamma/m)p^j$. The time evolution equation for this oscillator has the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}q^j = \frac{1}{m}p^j, \quad \frac{d}{dt}p^j = -(m\omega^2 q^j + \frac{\gamma}{m}p^j).$$

The dynamical equation for the classical observable $A_t(q, p)$ is written

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_t(q, p) = \mathcal{L}(q, p, \partial_q, \partial_p)A_t(q, p).$$

Differentiation of the function $A_t(q, p)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_t(q, p)}{dt} &= \frac{\partial A_t(q, p)}{\partial q^j} \frac{dq^j}{dt} + \frac{\partial A_t(q, p)}{\partial p^j} \frac{dp^j}{dt} = \\ &= \frac{1}{m}p^j \frac{\partial A_t(q, p)}{\partial q^j} - (m\omega^2 q^j + \frac{\gamma}{m}p^j) \frac{\partial A_t(q, p)}{\partial p^j}. \end{aligned}$$

The dynamical operator $\mathcal{L}(q, p, \partial_q, \partial_p)$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(q, p, \partial_q, \partial_p) = \frac{1}{m}p^j \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} - (m\omega^2 q^j + \frac{\gamma}{m}p^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^j}.$$

This operator is written in the QP -form

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, P) = \frac{i}{m}Q^{2j}P^{2j-1} - i(m\omega^2 Q^{2j-1} + \frac{\gamma}{m}Q^{2j})P^{2j}. \quad (34)$$

Weyl quantization of the operator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(Q, P)$ leads to superoperator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \frac{i}{m}\hat{Q}^{2j}\hat{P}^{2j-1} - i(m\omega^2\hat{Q}^{2j-1} + \frac{\gamma}{m}\hat{Q}^{2j})\hat{P}^{2j}. \quad (35)$$

This superoperator can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}) = \frac{i}{2m\hbar}[(\hat{p}^2 + m^2\omega^2\hat{q}^2)^l - (\hat{p}^2 + m^2\omega^2\hat{q}^2)^r] + \frac{i\gamma}{2m\hbar}[(\hat{p}\hat{q})^l - (\hat{p}\hat{q})^r + \hat{q}^l\hat{p}^r - \hat{p}^l\hat{q}^r].$$

As the result we have generalized Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{A}_t = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{A}_t] + \frac{i\gamma}{m\hbar}[\hat{p}^j, [\hat{q}^j, \hat{A}_t]]_+,$$

where

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m}(\hat{p}^2 + m^2\omega^2\hat{q}^2).$$

9 Fokker-Planck-Type System

Let us consider Liouville operator Λ , which acts on the normed distribution density function $\rho(q, p, t)$ and has the form of second order differential operator

$$\Lambda = d_{qq} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + 2d_{qp} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q \partial p} + d_{pp} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} + c_{qq} q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + c_{qp} q \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + c_{pq} p \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + c_{pp} p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + h . \quad (36)$$

Liouville equation

$$\frac{d\rho(q, p, t)}{dt} = \Lambda\rho(q, p, t)$$

with operator (36) is Fokker-Planck-type equation. Weyl quantization of the Liouville operator (36) leads to completely dissipative superoperator $\hat{\Lambda}$ considered in [4, 9, 5]. As the result we have the quantum Markovian master equation for a matrix density operator $\hat{\rho}_t$. If $h = -2(c_{pp} + c_{qq})$, then this equation has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\rho}_t}{dt} = & -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}_t] + \frac{i(\lambda - \mu)}{\hbar} [\hat{p}, \hat{q} \circ \hat{\rho}_t] - \frac{i(\lambda + \mu)}{\hbar} [\hat{q}, \hat{p} \circ \hat{\rho}_t] - \\ & - \frac{d_{pp}}{\hbar^2} [\hat{q}, [\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}_t]] - \frac{d_{qq}}{\hbar^2} [\hat{p}, [\hat{p}, \hat{\rho}_t]] + \frac{2d_{pq}}{\hbar^2} [\hat{p}, [\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}_t]] . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Here $\hat{H}$ is Hamilton operator which has the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \hat{q}^2 , \quad (38)$$

where

$$m = -\frac{1}{c_{pq}} , \quad \omega^2 = -c_{qp}c_{pq} , \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2}(c_{pp} + c_{qq}) , \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2}(c_{pp} - c_{qq}) .$$

Here d_{pp} , d_{qq} , d_{pq} are quantum diffusion coefficients and λ is a friction constant.

10 Conclusions

In this paper we suggest a generalization of Weyl quantization called dynamical quantization. It allows to derive dynamical superoperator from dynamical operator. The basis formulas which define the suggested quantization are (27) and (33). Quantization of a general dynamical operator for non-Hamiltonian system is described by suggested dynamical quantization. The suggested Weyl quantization scheme allows to derive quantum analogs for the classical non-Hamiltonian and dissipative systems.

The dynamical quantization (27) and (33) map the operator $\mathcal{L}(q, p, \partial_q, \partial_p)$ which acts by the functions $A(q, p)$ to the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$, which acts on the elements of operator space. If the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is an operator $\mathcal{L}(q, p)$ of multiplication on the function $A(q, p) = \mathcal{L}(q, p)1$, then formula (33) defines the usual Weyl quantization of the function $A(q, p)$ by the relation $\hat{A} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}\hat{I}$. Therefore the usual Weyl quantization procedure is a specific case of suggested Weyl dynamical quantization.

This work was partially supported by the RFBR grant No. 00-02-17679.

References

- [1] F.A. Berezin, M.A. Shubin "Schroedinger equation" Moscow: Moscow State University, 1983.
- [2] A. Kossakowski "On quantum statistical mechanics of non-Hamiltonian systems" Reports on Math. Physics. 1972. V.3. N.4. P.247-274.
- [3] R.S. Ingarden, A. Kossakowski "On the connection of nonequilibrium information thermodynamics with non-Hamiltonian quantum mechanics of open systems" Ann. Phys. 1975. V.89. P.451-485.
- [4] G. Lindblad "On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups" Comm. Math. Phys. 1976. V.48. N.2. P.119-130.
- [5] V.E. Tarasov "Quantum mechanics. Lectures on theory foundation" Moscow: Vuzovskaia kniga, 2000.
- [6] V.E. Tarasov "Mathematical introduction to quantum mechanics." Moscow: MAI Publishing Com., 2000.
- [7] N.L.Balazs, B.K.Jennings "Wigner function and other distribution function in Mock phase space" Phys. Rep. 1984. V.104. N.6. P.347-391.
- [8] V.E. Tarasov "Quantum dissipative systems. III. Definition and algebraic structures" Theor. Math. Phys. 1997. V.110. N.1. P.73-85.
- [9] A. Sandulescu, H. Scutaru "Open quantum systems and the damping of collective modes in deep inelastic collisions" Ann. Phys. 1987. V.173. P.277-317.